		•
1		
2		
3	·	
4		
5	·	
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4114
9	in the Watter of the Accusation Agamst.	Case No. 4114
10	TECCE D WELVED	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
11	JESSE R. WELKER 1201 W. Woodcrest Avenue Evilorton, CA 02823	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
12	Fullerton, CA 92833 Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34359	[Gov. Code, §11520]
13	110. 101.34333	
14	Dogmon dont	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	FINDING	S OF FACT
18	1. On or about December 1, 2011, Con	nplainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
20	Accusation No. 4114 against Jesse R. Welker (R	Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
21	(Accusation No. 4114 is attached hereto as Exhi	bit "A").
22	2. On or about August 21, 2000, the Bo	oard of Pharmacy (Board) issued Original
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 343	359 to Respondent. The Original Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration was in full force and eff	fect at all times relevant to the charges brought in
25	Accusation No. 4114. The Original Pharmacy T	Sechnician Registration expired on April 20, 2012,
26	and has not been renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

2.7

- 3. On or about December 9, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4114, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 1201 W. Woodcrest Avenue, Fullerton, CA 92833.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 16, 2011, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Forwarding Address Expired." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4114.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

///

28 | ///

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4114, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4114, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,300.00 as of May 21, 2012.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jesse R. Welker has subjected his Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34359 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Original Pharmacy
 Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are
 supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301 subsections (f), (l), and (p) in that he was convicted of crimes substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician as follows:
- 1. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, West Justice Center, Case No. 09WM06284, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 459-460(b), second degree commercial burglary.
- 2. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 09NM12466, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft.

- 3. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 10NM04062, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft.
- 4. On or about May 12, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 10NM04374, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103(a)- reckless driving.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(f) in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, deceit or corruption when he stole and/or damaged merchandise from various merchants.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(h) in that he used a controlled substance, dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, to other people, and to the public.
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(p) in that he committed acts which, if he had committed before he was issued his Pharmacy Technician Registration, would have warranted the denial of his application for licensure in that he stole and/or damaged merchandise from various merchants with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, and was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician.

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 || ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 || ///

///

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34359, 2 heretofore issued to Respondent Jesse R. Welker, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on August 15, 2012. 8 It is so ORDERED on July 16, 2012. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 By STANLEY C. WEISSER 14 **Board President** 15 16 70568434.DOC SD2011800831 17 Attachment: 18 Exhibit A: Accusation 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207031 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2071 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4114	
12	JESSE R. WELKER	
13	1201 W. Woodcrest Avenue Fullerton, CA 92833 ACCUSATION	
14		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34359	
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about August 21, 2000, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 34359 to Jesse R. Welker (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and	
26	will expire on April 20, 2012, unless renewed.	
27		
28		
	II	

8

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26 27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
 - (c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.
 - Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

• • •

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter.... In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision...

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

- 7. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
 - (1) Been convicted of a crime...
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

. . ///

///

///

8. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 9. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 10. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

11. Section 4313 of the Code states:

In determining whether to grant an application for licensure or whether to discipline or reinstate a license, the board shall give consideration to evidence of rehabilitation. However, public protection shall take priority over rehabilitation and, where evidence of rehabilitation and public protection are in conflict, public protection shall take precedence.

REGULATIONS

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769(b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime; the board in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and her present eligibility for a license will consider the following:

- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) Time that has elapsed since the commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

///

///

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 24, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Burglary on or about June 22, 2009)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301 subsections (f), (l), and (p) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 16. On or about June 22, 2009, Officer "M" responded to a business on a report of a male subject opening packages with a knife and concealing the merchandise. Upon arrival, Officer M and an additional officer detained two suspects pending further investigation. Officer M spoke with the reporting party who stated that he observed the male suspect (Respondent) cut merchandise out of a package and conceal it in his pocket. Officer M spoke with Respondent. Respondent stated that he was on medication, but that he did it out of stupidity and that he knew it was wrong. Respondent stated "I confess," and admitted that he cut open approximately six packages and took the items. Respondent admitted to placing the items on his person and in the female suspect's purse. The approximate value of the merchandise was \$440.00. Respondent was arrested for violation of Penal Code section 459, commercial burglary.
- 17. On July 14, 2009, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 459-460(b), second degree commercial burglary; and Count 2, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 466, possession of burglary tools.
- 18. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, West Justice Center, Case No. 09WM06284, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 459-460(b), second degree commercial burglary. Count 2 was dismissed. As a result of this conviction, Respondent was granted three years of informal probation, sentenced to thirty days in the county jail, and ordered to pay fines and fees. Respondent was ordered to stay away from Target in Orange County.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 24, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Petty Theft on or about August 18, 2009)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301 subsections (f), (l), and (p) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 20. On or about August 18, 2009, Officer "C" responded to a business (Sam's Club) regarding a male in custody for shoplifting. Upon arrival, Officer C spoke with the Loss Prevention Officer (LPO) who stated that a male (later identified as Respondent) was observed selecting merchandise and concealing the items in his pockets. The total loss to the business was \$34.00. Officer C spoke to Respondent, who stated that he saw several items that he wanted. Respondent stated that he took the items because of his ignorance. Respondent further stated that he walked outside to smoke and forgot that that the merchandise was concealed in his pockets. Officer C noted that Respondent had \$1500.00 cash on his person, and that he was on several different medications due to several different medical problems. Respondent was cited and released from the location.
- 21. On October 1, 2009, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft.
- 22. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 09NM12466, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft. As a result of this conviction, Respondent was sentenced to thirty days in the county jail, and ordered to pay fines and fees.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 24, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Petty Theft on or about August 18, 2009)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301 subsections (f), (l), and (p) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

24. On or about August 18-19, 2009 (11:54 p.m12:30 a.m.), Officer "G" responded to a
business (Target) regarding a male in custody for shoplifting. Upon arrival, Officer C spoke with
the Loss Prevention Officer (LPO) who stated that a male (later identified as Respondent) caught
his attention in the store because he appeared to be intoxicated. Respondent was observed
opening packages with a sharp item and stuffing the items into his pockets. Respondent made a
purchase at the register; however, he did not pay for the items that were concealed in his pockets.
Respondent was detained outside the store. The items were recovered, as was a box cutter, from
Respondent's pockets. The total value of the loss was \$193.00. Officer G spoke to Respondent,
who initially denied the theft. Respondent later admitted to the theft, and stated that it was a poor
choice. Respondent stated that he did not intend to steal anything until he was in the store.
Officer G noted that Respondent had \$1021.66 cash on his person at the time of the arrest.
Respondent was arrested for violation of Penal Code section 488, theft. Respondent was
transported and booked into custody at the Fullerton City Jail.

- 25. On April 8, 2010, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft.
- 26. On or about March 24, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 10NM04062, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 484(a)-488, petty theft. As a result of this conviction, Respondent was sentenced to thirty days in the county jail, and ordered to pay fines and fees.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 12, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Reckless Driving on or about November 13, 2009)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and 4301 subsections (h), (l), and (p) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

27 | ///

///

28 |

- 28. On or about November 13, 2009, Officer "L" responded to the scene of a single vehicle rollover traffic accident. The accident occurred in front of a high school, approximately four minutes before school ended for the day. Respondent's vehicle knocked down two trees, broke a water pipe and cover, grazed a pole, and came to rest on the sidewalk in front of the school. After contact, Respondent was identified as the driver of the vehicle in question. Respondent stated to Officer L that Respondent had "sneezed" and that another vehicle "cut him off." Officer L noted that Respondent spoke slowly and appeared drowsy. Officer L also noted that Respondent had a tube stuck in his arm that was attached to a black fanny pack. Respondent stated that the fanny pack contained liquid antibiotics (Cefazolin) for an infection in his finger. Respondent was transported to the Medical Center for treatment of injuries sustained in the accident.
- 29. Officer L attempted to speak to Respondent at the hospital, but Respondent was extremely sleepy and had difficulty answering the questions. Hospital staff advised that Respondent had not been given any medication. The nurse stated, "No, that's all him."
- 30. On November 15, 2009, Officer L spoke to the paramedic who treated Respondent, who also stated that they had not given Respondent any medication. The paramedic stated that Respondent had advised him that he had taken three Oxycontin.
- 31. On April 15, 2010, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) driving under the influence (DUI) of a drug or alcohol. On May 12, 2011, the court amended the complaint to add Count 2, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23103(a) reckless driving.
- 32. On or about May 12, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Jesse Ray Welker*, in the Superior Court of California, Court of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 10NM04374, Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103(a)- reckless driving. Count 1 was dismissed. As a result of this conviction, Respondent was granted three years of informal probation, required to enroll in and complete a 12-hour Alcohol and Drug program, ordered not to drive with a measurable amount of drugs or

2

3

5

6

7

8

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 34359, issued to Jesse R. Welker;
- 2. Ordering Jesse R. Welker to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 12/1/11 Juginah

VIRGINIA HEROL Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2011800831 80557558.doc